February 10, 2009

The Honorable Judge Robert D. Drain U.S. Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York One Bowling Green – Room 610 New York, New York 10004

Reference:

Delphi Corp Case # 05-44481 filed October 8, 2005 054481-16117, SALARIED OPEB TERMINATION MOTION Court Docket #14705

Dear Judge Drain:

This letter expresses my objection with the motion filed in Court Docket #14705 filed by Delphi Corporation on February 04, 2009, asking the court to terminate health and life insurance benefits (OPEB) for some 15,000 retirees of Delphi Corporation.

I respectfully submit to your court this **OBJECTION** to the proposed motion contained in the Court Docket #14705 and the action proposed, and file it as a motion to object for three reasons.

- 1. I knew nothing of this action before receiving notice in the mail on February 05, 2009. This gives those affected only 12 days to digest, try to understand, and file an objection request. This is not sufficient time to analyze the data and formulate a detailed response.
- 2. OPEB benefits were actually paid for by the salaried employees of Delphi Corporation.

The OPEB benefits cited in this motion were not paid for by the employer (Delphi). Each year, salaried employees received a salary and benefits package as opposed to contract employees who received a larger salary in lieu of these benefits. The majority of current retirees spent most of their careers as General Motors employees prior to the 1999 spin-off of Delphi.

All salaried employees received a lower salary to enable the GM/Delphi to set aside money in a trust fund out of which these OPEB benefits would be paid in the future. GM/Delphi obviously placed a value on these benefits since salaried employees hired after January 1, 1993 were given an additional 1% of base pay in lieu of the OPEB retirement benefits. Furthermore, the 1993 change was done as a cost reduction so it is obvious that GM/Delphi valued these benefits at some level greater than 1% of base pay.

It is not the fault of the salaried employees that this trust fund was never set up and that the money saved by GM and Delphi was used for other purposes. In fact, this borders on mismanagement and malfeasance on the part of Delphi and potentially General Motors.

Therefore, I believe it is incumbent upon this court to reject this motion from Delphi.

3. Delphi breached their contract with salaried employees.

The provisions of this motion represent a "BREACH OF CONTRACT" with the salaried employees of Delphi. Employees were recruited by GM/Delphi based on a salary and benefit package that implied, if not directly stated, that the salary and benefits were comparable or better than those paid by other companies and that they were a part of the employment contract with GM/Delphi.

Each year, salaried employees were required to sign a document accepting the new salary and benefit package that Delphi offered. Again, the salary was lower than what it would have been were no benefits included in the package. Also, the conversation that took place during the signing session to accept the yearly changes implied that these benefits would be provided as described in the benefits manual that Delphi provided each year. A large portion of this benefits manual was devoted to retirement income and salaried benefits after retirement.

Each year, the salaried benefits package was compared both verbally and in writing to the hourly benefits package to show that the salaried employees were treated as well as the represented hourly employees. This was done to prevent salaried employees from demanding union representation. Hourly benefits are not being subjected to this motion to cancel retiree benefits because of the contract between Delphi and the various unions. Since these comparisons were made by Delphi, it is clear that the benefits were meant to have similar longevity to those specified by the hourly contract.

Some salaried employees were given the opportunity to retire early. Here again, a package was prepared showing the retirement income and benefits that would be provided if the employee elected to retire. I would not have signed the early retirement documents if there were any hint, either verbally or otherwise, that these benefits would not be paid in the future.

All retiring salaried employees (early retirees and normal retirees) signed documents accepting the income and benefits provisions again with the implication (verbal) that these benefits would continue during retirement.

After many employees retired, Delphi discontinued health care benefits after age 65. A number of meetings were held describing the Delphi Retiree Health Reimbursement Account (HRA) where Delphi automatically enrolled retirees for

\$10,000 (or \$20,000 if retired on or before March 1, 2005). WageWorks administrated the plan and retirees could reimburse themselves for the cost of health insurance plan premiums or other required contributions. This action would indicate that Delphi truly felt some responsibility for the past promises and contracts with their employees. This HRA is now being eliminated along with the other benefits.

For the numerous reasons cited above, I contend that Delphi broke its implied contract with its salaried employees and should be held accountable for that action. Delphi should not be allowed to opt out of this obligation and this motion should be rejected by the court.

I further submit -

- a. a committee be appointed comprised of Delphi Retirees who are authorized to represent our issues.
- b. to delay the SALARIED OPEB TERMINATION MOTION until the appointed committee can meet with the debtors to pursue alternative solutions which would benefit both parties.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my views and objections. I served 30 years with GM and 3 years with Delphi Corporation.

Respectfully submitted by:

Carl H. Friedemann 21229 Oakview Drive Noblesville, IN 46062

Home phone: 317.877.0012 Email: tupps258@aol.com

CC:

Attn: Brian Masumoto
Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York
33 Whitehall Street
New York, New York 10004

Attn: General Counsel Delphi Corporation 5725 Delphi Drive Troy, Michigan 48098 Attn: John Wm. Butler, Jr. Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 333 West Wacker Drive - Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Attn: Donald Berstein and Brian Resnick Davis Polk & Wardwell 450 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10017

Attn: Robert J. Rosenberg and Mark A. Broude Latham & Watkins LLP 885 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022

Attn: Bonnie Steingart Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP One New York Plaza New York, New York 10004